## 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GREAT AMERICAN INSURANCE

COMPANY, and GREAT AMERICAN

INSURANCE COMPANY OF NEW YORK,

Plaintiffs,

V.

MICHAEL CHANG, d/b/a SUNRISE

CLEANERS, INC., and ROXANNE

CHANG, d/b/a SUNRISE CLEANERS,

INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 12-00833-SC

Case No. 12-00833-SC

CRENO. 12-00833-SC

ORDER RE: PRE-JUDGMENT

INTEREST

ORDER RE: PRE-JUDGMENT

ORDER

On November 6, 2013, the Court issued an Order granting Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment, and awarding them damages in the amount of \$884,101.59. ECF No. 86. The Court denied Plaintiffs' request for pre-judgment interest because Plaintiffs had not set forth the dates on which they had advanced sums to the Defendants, and thus the Court could not determine the starting dates for the calculation of pre-judgment interest. The Court granted Plaintiffs leave to file supplemental briefing on the issue. In their supplemental brief, Plaintiffs set forth the relevant dates and assert that they are entitled to \$106,856.61 in pre-judgment interest as of November 20, 2013, and an additional

\$169.55 per day until judgment is entered. ECF No. 87. Defendants
have filed a response to Plaintiffs' supplemental brief, but they
do not appear to contest any of Plaintiffs' calculations. ECF No.
88. Nonetheless, the Court declines to reward Plaintiffs for
failing to fully brief the issue of pre-judgment interest in their
motion for summary judgment. Accordingly, the Court only awards
pre-judgment interest through November 6, 2013 the date of the
Court's summary judgment order. Thus, Plaintiffs' pre-judgment
interest is limited to \$104,482.90.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 13, 2013

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE